

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOSE JESUS REYES,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 12CR2764WQH
CASE NO. 12CV2657WQH

ORDER

HAYES, Judge:

The matter before the Court is the Motion for time reduction by an inmate in federal custody under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. (ECF No. 24). Defendant moves the court to grant a downward departure on the following grounds: 1) the Attorney General could offer up to two points downward departure if the defendant accepts a final deportation order, and 2) he cannot be housed in a minimum security facility or a Community Correctional Center because of his deportation status. The Court finds that the issues raised in the petition are appropriate for summary disposition.

APPLICABLE LAW

28 U.S.C. §2255 provides that “A prisoner under sentence of a court established by Act of Congress claiming the right to be released upon the ground that the sentence was imposed in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States, or that the court was without jurisdiction to impose such sentence, or that the sentence was in excess of the maximum authorized by law, or is otherwise subject to collateral attack, may move the court which

1 imposed the sentence to vacate, set aside or correct the sentence.” A district court must
2 summarily dismiss a § 2255 application “[i]f it plainly appears from the motion, any attached
3 exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is not entitled to relief.”
4 Rule 4(b), Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District courts.
5 When this standard is satisfied, neither a hearing nor a response from the government is
6 required. *See Marrow v. United States*, 772 F.2d 525, 526 (9th Cir. 1985).

7 **RULING OF THE COURT**

8 In this case, the record conclusively shows that the Defendant has waived his right to
9 bring a § 2255 motion. In exchange for the Government’s concessions in the plea agreement,
10 the Defendant waived “to the full extent of the law, any right to appeal or to collaterally attack
11 the conviction and sentence, except a post-conviction collateral attack based upon a claim of
12 ineffective assistance of counsel, unless the Court imposes a custodial sentence above the high
13 end of the guideline range recommended by the Government pursuant to this agreement at the
14 time of sentencing.” (ECF No. 14 at 10). This waiver is clear, express and unequivocal. Plea
15 agreements are contractual in nature, and their plain language will generally be enforced if the
16 agreement is clear and unambiguous on its face. *United States v. Jeronimo*, 298 F.3d 1149,
17 1153 (9th Cir. 2005).

18 At the time of sentencing, the Government recommended an adjusted offense level of
19 15 and a resulting guideline range of 41-51 months. (ECF No. 20). The Government
20 recommended a sentence of 41 months, the low end of the guideline range. (ECF No. 20). The
21 Court imposed a sentence of 41 months. (ECF No. 23 at 2). Pursuant to the terms of the plea
22 agreement, the Defendant waived his right to collaterally attack the sentence imposed.

23 Finally, the Defendant presents no grounds for relief under Section 2255. The
24 Sentencing Reform Act gives the Bureau of Prisons the responsibility to “designate the place
25 of the prisoner’s imprisonment.” 18 U.S.C. § 3621(b). *See United States v. Cubillos*, 91 F.3d
26 1342, 1344-45 (9th Cir. 1996). The Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has rejected the
27 assertion that an alien’s equal protection rights are violated when he cannot be housed in a
28 minimum security facility or a community correction center based upon his deportation status.

1 *See McClean v. Crabtree*, 173 F.3d 1176, 1185-86 (9th Cir. 1999). In addition, the United
2 States Attorney General was not required to offer the Defendant a downward departure on the
3 grounds that he accepted a final deportation order.

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for time reduction by an inmate in federal
5 custody under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (ECF No. 24) filed by the Defendant is denied.

6 DATED: November 14, 2012

7 
8 **WILLIAM Q. HAYES**
United States District Judge